

# On the Bi-harmonic Green's Function of a Bi-harmonic Space

Hidematu TANAKA

*Department of Fundamental Natural Science, Okayama University of Science,  
Ridai-cho, Okayama 700, Japan  
(Received September 29, 1980)*

## Introduction

Let  $X$  be a connected, locally connected and locally compact Hausdorff space with a countable basis and  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  be an elliptic bi-harmonic space in the sense of Smyrnélis [6].

We denote by  $(X, \mathbf{H}_j)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) the Brelot's harmonic space associated with  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  and suppose that  $(X, \mathbf{H}_j)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) satisfies the local proportionality axiom and  $(X, \mathbf{H}_2)$  is strong. Then there exists the  $\mathbf{H}_2$ -Green's function  $G^{(2)}(x, y)$  on  $X$ .

A function  $N(x, y) : X \times X \rightarrow (0, +\infty]$  is called the bi-harmonic Green's function of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $G^{(2)}(x, y)$  if for each  $y \in X$ , the couple  $(N(\cdot, y), G^{(2)}(\cdot, y))$  is an  $\mathbf{H}$ -potential on  $X$  and  $\mathbf{H}$ -harmonic on  $X - \{y\}$ . We shall show that the bi-harmonic Green's function of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $G^{(2)}(x, y)$  exists if and only if there exists the  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -Green's function  $G^{(1)}(x, y)$  on  $X$  and, for each  $y \in X$ ,

$$\int G^{(1)}(x, z)G^{(2)}(z, y) d\alpha(z) < +\infty$$

for some  $x \in X$ , where  $\alpha$  is the composing measure associated with  $G^{(1)}(x, y)$ . In this case we have

$$N(x, y) = \int G^{(1)}(x, z)G^{(2)}(z, y) d\alpha(z).$$

We shall give the integral representation of an  $\mathbf{H}$ -potential on  $X$  by using this couple  $(N(\cdot, y), G^{(2)}(\cdot, y))$  of kernels.

## 1. Bi-harmonic spaces

For an open set  $U \neq \emptyset$  in  $X$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{C}(U)$  the real vector space of finite continuous functions on  $U$ . An element  $(h_1, h_2)$  in  $\mathbf{C}(U) \times \mathbf{C}(U)$  is called compatible if  $h_1 = 0$  on an open subset  $U'$  of  $U$  implies  $h_2 = 0$  on  $U'$ . Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be an application  $U \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(U)$ , where  $\mathbf{H}(U)$  is a real vector subspace of compatible couples in  $\mathbf{C}(U) \times \mathbf{C}(U)$ .

A relatively compact open set  $\omega$  is called **H-regular** if for any couple  $(f_1, f_2)$  of finite continuous functions on the boundary  $\partial\omega$  of  $\omega$ , there exists a unique  $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathbf{H}(\omega)$  such that :

- (i)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} h_j(x) = f_j(a)$  for any  $a \in \partial\omega$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) ;
- (ii)  $f_j \geq 0$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) implies  $h_1 \geq 0$  and  $f_2 \geq 0$  implies  $h_2 \geq 0$ .

For an **H-regular** set  $\omega$ , there exists a unique system  $(\mu^{\omega_x}, \nu^{\omega_x}, \lambda^{\omega_x})$  of positive Radon measures on  $\partial\omega$  such that

$$h_1(x) = \int f_1 d\mu^{\omega_x} + \int f_2 d\nu^{\omega_x}, \quad h_2(x) = \int f_2 d\lambda^{\omega_x}.$$

We say that  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  is an elliptic bi-harmonic space in the sense of Smyrnelis [6] if it satisfies the following four axioms.

**Axiom I.**  $\mathbf{H}$  is a sheaf on  $X$ .

For an open set  $U$  in  $X$ , an element in  $\mathbf{H}(U)$  is called **H-harmonic** on  $U$ .

**Axiom II.** The **H-regular** open sets form a basis of  $X$ .

Let  $U$  be an open set in  $X$ . A couple  $(v_1, v_2)$  of functions on  $U$  is called **H-hyperharmonic** on  $U$  if

- (i)  $v_j$  is lower semi-continuous and  $> -\infty$  on  $U$  ( $j=1, 2$ ),
- (ii)  $v_1(x) \geq \int v_1 d\mu^{\omega_x} + \int v_2 d\nu^{\omega_x}$  and  $v_2(x) \geq \int v_2 d\lambda^{\omega_x}$  for any **H-regular** neighborhood  $\omega$  of  $x$  with  $\bar{\omega} \subset U$ .

An **H-hyperharmonic** couple  $(s_1, s_2)$  on  $U$  is called **H-superharmonic** on  $U$  if  $s_j$  is not identically  $+\infty$  on any connected component of  $U$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) and an **H-superharmonic** couple  $(p_1, p_2)$  on  $X$  is called an **H-potential** on  $X$  if  $p_j \geq 0$  and, for any  $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathbf{H}(X)$ ,  $h_j = 0$  so far as  $0 \leq h_j \leq p_j$  ( $j=1, 2$ ). The set of all **H-superharmonic** couples (resp. **H-potentials**) on  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{S}(X)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{P}(X)$ ). For an open set  $U$ , denote by  $\mathbf{H}^*(U)$  the set of all **H-hyperharmonic** couples on  $U$  and put  $\mathbf{H}^*_1(U) = \{v_1 : (v_1, 0) \in \mathbf{H}^*(U)\}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}^*_2(U) = \{v_2 : (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{H}^*(U) \text{ for some } v_1\}$  and  $\mathbf{H}_j(U) = \mathbf{H}^*_j(U) \cap [-\mathbf{H}^*_j(U)]$  ( $j=1, 2$ )

**Axiom III.** (i)  $\mathbf{H}^*_j(X)$  separates the points of  $X$  linearly ( $j=1, 2$ ).

(ii) On each relatively compact open set  $U$  there exists a strictly positive  $h_j \in \mathbf{H}_j(U)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ).

**Axiom IV.** If  $U$  is a domain in  $X$  and  $\{h_j^{(n)}\}_n$  is an increasing sequence of functions in  $\mathbf{H}_j(U)$ , then either  $\sup_n h_j^{(n)} = +\infty$  or  $\sup_n h_j^{(n)} \in \mathbf{H}_j(U)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ).

Set  $\mathbf{H}_j = \{\mathbf{H}_j(U)\}_{U: \text{o.p.o.n.}}$ . It is shown by Theorem 1.29 in [6] that  $(X, \mathbf{H}_j)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) is a Brelot's harmonic space. We call  $(X, \mathbf{H}_j)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) the Brelot's harmonic space associated with  $(X, \mathbf{H})$ . The set of all **H<sub>j</sub>-superharmonic** functions (resp.

$\mathbf{H}_j$ -potentials) on  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{S}_j(X)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{P}_j(X)$ ) ( $j=1, 2$ ).

## 2. Some lemmas

Let  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  be an elliptic bi-harmonic space and  $(X, \mathbf{H}_j)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) be the Brelot's harmonic space associated with  $(X, \mathbf{H})$ .

For a real-valued function  $f$  on an open set  $U \subset X$ , we set

$$\Gamma f(x) = \limsup_{\substack{\omega \searrow x \\ U \supset \omega \supset \omega \\ \omega : \mathbf{H}\text{-regural}}} \frac{f(x) - \int f d\mu_x^\omega}{\int d\nu_x^\omega},$$

$$\Gamma' f(x) = \liminf_{\substack{\omega \searrow x \\ U \supset \omega \supset \omega \\ \omega : \mathbf{H}\text{-regular}}} \frac{f(x) - \int f d\mu_x^\omega}{\int d\nu_x^\omega}.$$

By Theorem 11.3 in [7] we have

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(v_1, v_2)$  be a couple of lower semi-continuous functions on an open set  $U$ . Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{H}^*(U)$ ;
- (ii)  $v_2 \in \mathbf{H}^*_2(U)$  and  $\Gamma' v_1(x) \geq v_2(x)$  for any  $x \in U$  with  $v_1(x) < +\infty$ ;
- (iii)  $v_2 \in \mathbf{H}^*_2(U)$  and  $\Gamma v_1(x) \geq v_2(x)$  for any  $x \in U$  with  $v_1(x) < +\infty$ .

We say that  $(X, \mathbf{H}_1)$  satisfies the local proportionality axiom if the totality of  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -regular sets  $U$  satisfying the condition (\*) forms a basis of  $X$ :

(\*) Any two  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -potentials on  $U$  with common one-point support are proportional.

If  $(X, \mathbf{H}_1)$  satisfies the local proportionality axiom, then there exists a consistent system  $(G_\omega^{(1)})_{\omega : \mathbf{H}\text{-regural}}$  of  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -Green's functions (see Theorem 1.6 in [11] and § 4 in [10]). That is, to each  $\mathbf{H}$ -regular set  $\omega$  there corresponds a function  $G_\omega^{(1)}(x, y)$  on  $\omega \times \omega$  having the following properties:

- (i) for each  $y \in \omega$ ,  $G_\omega^{(1)}(\cdot, y)$  is an  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -potential on  $\omega$  and  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -harmonic on  $\omega - \{y\}$ ;
- (ii) if  $\omega'$  is an  $\mathbf{H}$ -regular set with  $\omega' \subset \omega$  and  $y \in \omega'$ , then the function  $G_\omega^{(1)}(x, y) - G_{\omega'}^{(1)}(x, y)$  of  $x$  is  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -harmonic on  $\omega'$ ;
- (iii) for each  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -potential  $p$  on  $\omega$  there exists a unique positive Radon measure  $m$  on  $\omega$  such that

$$p(x) = \int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(x, y) dm(y).$$

By Theorem 9 in [10] we have

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $(X, \mathbf{H}_1)$  satisfies the local proportionality axiom. For a consistent system  $(G_{\omega}^{(1)})_{\omega} : \mathbf{H}$ -regular of  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -Green's functions, there exists a unique positive Radon measure  $\alpha$  on  $X$  such that*

$$\nu_x^{\omega} = \int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(x, y) \lambda_{\omega, y} d\alpha(y)$$

for any  $\mathbf{H}$ -regular set  $\omega$  and any  $x \in \omega$  (i. e.,

$$\int f d\nu_x^{\omega} = \int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(x, y) \left( \int f d\lambda_{\omega, y} \right) d\alpha(y)$$

for any finite continuous function  $f$  on  $X$ ).

This positive Radon measure  $\alpha$  is called a composing measure of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $(G_{\omega}^{(1)})_{\omega} : \mathbf{H}$ -regular. By virtue of the compatibility of bi-harmonic couples, this measure  $\alpha$  is everywhere dense in  $X$ . From now on we suppose that  $(X, \mathbf{H}_1)$  satisfies the local proportionality axiom and  $\alpha$  is the composing measure of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $(G_{\omega}^{(1)})_{\omega} : \mathbf{H}$ -regular.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\omega$  be an  $\mathbf{H}$ -regular set and  $f$  be a bounded Borel measurable function on  $\omega$ . Then the function*

$$\int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(\cdot, y) f(y) d\alpha(y)$$

is continuous on  $\omega$  and equal to 0 on  $\partial\omega$ .

**Proof.** We may assume that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ . Let  $U$  be a relatively compact open set with  $U \supset \bar{\omega} \supset \omega$ . By Axiom III (ii), there exists a strictly positive  $h_2 \in \mathbf{H}_2(U)$ . The couple  $(\int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(\cdot, y) h_2(y) d\alpha(y), h_2)$  being in  $\mathbf{H}(\omega)$ ,  $\int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(\cdot, y) h_2(y) d\alpha(y)$  is a continuous  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -potential on  $\omega$  and equal to 0 on  $\partial\omega$ . Since  $f(y) \leq M h_2(y) (\forall y \in \omega)$  for some constant  $M > 0$ ,

$$\int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(\cdot, y) f(y) d\alpha(y) \leq M \int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(\cdot, y) h_2(y) d\alpha(y).$$

Therefore  $\int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(\cdot, y) f(y) d\alpha(y)$  is an  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -potential on  $\omega$  and equal to 0 on  $\partial\omega$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(\cdot, y) f(y) d\alpha(y) \\ &= M \int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(\cdot, y) h_2(y) d\alpha(y) - \int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(\cdot, y) \left( M - \frac{f(y)}{h_2(y)} \right) h_2(y) d\alpha(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(\cdot, y)f(y)d\alpha(y)$  is upper semi-continuous and so it is continuous on  $\omega$ .

Let  $v_2$  be in  $H_2^*(X)$  and  $v_2 \geq 0$ . We set

$$E_{v_2} = \{w_1 : w_1 \geq 0 \text{ and } (w_1, v_2) \in H^*(X)\}$$

and  $v_1 = \inf E_{v_2}$ . Then  $v_1 \in E_{v_2}$  by Lemma 11.6 in [7]. We call this function  $v_1$  the pure hyperharmonic function of order 2 associated with  $v_2$  (see [7] and [9]). We have the following lemma. This proof is similar to that of Lemma 11.8 in [7]. We shall note that the proof is given without the assumption of strongness of  $(X, H)$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $v_2$  be in  $H_2^*(X)$  with  $v_2 \geq 0$  and  $v_1$  be the pure hyperharmonic function of order 2 associated with  $v_2$ . Then*

$$v_1(x) = \int v_1 d\mu_x^{\omega} + \int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(x, y)v_2(y)d\alpha(y)$$

for any  $H$ -regular set  $\omega$  and any  $x \in \omega$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\omega$  be an  $H$ -regular set. We put

$$w_1(x) = \begin{cases} \inf (v_1(x), \int v_1 d\mu_x^{\omega} + \int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(x, y)v_2(y)d\alpha(y)) & \text{for } x \in \omega \\ v_1(x) & \text{for } x \in C\omega \end{cases}$$

and  $w_2(x) = v_2(x)$  on  $X$ . It suffices to show  $(w_1, w_2) \in H^*(X)$ . Since  $v_j (j=1, 2)$  is non-negative lower semi-continuous, there exists an increasing sequence  $\{f^{(n)}_j\}_n$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) of non-negative continuous functions on  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_j^{(n)}(x) = v_j(x) \quad (j=1, 2).$$

Set

$$r_1^{(n)}(x) = \int f_1^{(n)} d\mu_x^{\omega} + \int G_{\omega}^{(1)}(x, y)f_2^{(n)}(y)d\alpha(y)$$

for  $x \in \omega$ . Then, for any  $x \in \omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma r_1^{(n)}(x) &= \limsup_{\substack{\omega' \searrow x \\ \omega \supset \omega' \supset \omega' \\ \omega' : H\text{-regular}}} \frac{r_1^{(n)}(x) - \int r_1^{(n)} d\mu_{\omega'}^{x}}{\int d\nu_{\omega'}^x} \\ &= \limsup_{\omega' \searrow x} \frac{\int G_{\omega'}^{(1)}(x, y)f_2^{(n)}(y)d\alpha(y)}{\int G_{\omega'}^{(1)}(x, y)(\int d\lambda_{\omega'}^y)d\alpha(y)} \\ &= \limsup_{\omega' \searrow x} \frac{\int G_{\omega'}^{(1)}(x, y)f_2^{(n)}(y)d\alpha(y)}{\int G_{\omega'}^{(1)}(x, y)d\alpha(y)} \cdot \frac{\int G_{\omega'}^{(1)}(x, y)d\alpha(y)}{\int G_{\omega'}^{(1)}(x, y)(\int d\lambda_{\omega'}^y)d\alpha(y)} \\ &= f_2^{(n)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Gamma v_1 \geq v_2$ ,  $\Gamma(v_1 - r_1^{(n)})(x) \geq v_2(x) - f_2^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$  on  $\omega$ .

Hence  $v_1 - r_1^{(n)} \in \mathbf{H}^*(\omega)$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_1(x) - r_1^{(n)}(x)) + \int G_\omega^{(1)}(x, y) f_2^{(n)}(y) d\alpha(y) \\ &= v_1(x) - \int f_2^{(n)} d\mu_x^\omega \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\int G_\omega^{(1)}(\cdot, y) f_1^{(n)}(y) d\alpha(y)$  being an  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -potential on  $\omega$ , we have  $v_1(x) \geq r_1^{(n)}(x)$  on  $\omega$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$v(x) \geq \int v_1 d\mu_x^\omega + \int G_\omega^{(1)}(x, y) v_2(y) d\alpha(y)$$

on  $\omega$ . Since  $(w_1, w_2)|_\omega \in \mathbf{H}^*(\omega)$  and

$$\liminf_{\omega \ni x \rightarrow z} w_1(x) \geq v_1(z) \quad (\forall z \in \partial\omega),$$

$(w_1, w_2) \in \mathbf{H}^*(X)$  by Proposition 1.21 in [6]. This completes the proof.

If there exists the  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -Green's function  $G^{(1)}(x, y)$  on  $X$ , then we shall define a consistent system  $(G_\omega^{(1)})_\omega$ :  $\mathbf{H}$ -regular of  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -Green's function by setting

$$G_\omega^{(1)}(x, y) = G^{(1)}(x, y) - \int G^{(1)}(z, y) d\mu_x^\omega(z).$$

The composing measure associated with this system is called the composing measure of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $G^{(1)}(x, y)$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $s_2$  be in  $\mathbf{S}_2(X)$  with  $s_2 \geq 0$  and  $s_2 \not\equiv 0$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists  $s_1 \geq 0$  such that  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbf{S}(X)$ ;*
- (ii) *there exists the  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -Green's function  $G^{(1)}(x, y)$  on  $X$  and for some  $x \in X$ ,*

$$\int G^{(1)}(x, y) s_2(y) d\alpha(y) < +\infty,$$

where  $\alpha$  is the composing measure of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $G^{(1)}(x, y)$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii). Since there exists  $s_1 \geq 0$  such that  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbf{S}(X)$ , the pure hyperharmonic function  $p_1$  of order 2 associated with  $s_2$  is a strictly positive  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -potential on  $X$  (see Remark c) in [9]). Hence by Theorem 18.1 in [4] there exists the  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -Green's function  $G^{(1)}(x, y)$  on  $X$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the composing measure of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $G^{(1)}(x, y)$ . Since  $p_1 \in \mathbf{P}_1(X)$ , there exists a unique positive Radon measure  $m$  on  $X$  such that

$$p_1(x) = \int G^{(1)}(x, y) dm(y).$$

By Lemma 4 we have

$$\int G_\omega^{(1)}(x, y) dm(y) = \int G_\omega^{(1)}(x, y) s_2(y) d\alpha(y)$$

for any  $\mathbf{H}$ -regular set  $\omega$  and any  $x \in \omega$ . Hence  $m = s_2 \alpha$  on  $\omega$  for any  $\mathbf{H}$ -regular set

$\omega$ . Therefore

$$p_1 = \int G^{(1)}(\cdot, y) s_2(y) d\alpha(y)$$

and so for some  $x \in X$ ,

$$\int G^{(1)}(x, y) s_2(y) d\alpha(y) < +\infty.$$

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (i). Put

$$s_1 = \int G^{(1)}(\cdot, y) s_2(y) d\alpha(y).$$

Then  $s_1 \in \mathbf{P}_1(X)$  by Theorem 18.3 in [4] and  $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbf{S}(X)$ . This completes the proof.

### 3. Bi-harmonic Green's function

Let  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  be an elliptic bi-harmonic space and  $(X, \mathbf{H}_j)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) be the associated BreLOT's harmonic space. We suppose that  $(X, \mathbf{H}_j)$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) satisfies the local proportionality axiom and  $(X, \mathbf{H}_2)$  is strong. Then by Theorem 18.1 in [4] there exists the  $\mathbf{H}_2$ -Green's function  $G^{(2)}(x, y)$  on  $X$ .

A function  $N(x, y) : X \times X \rightarrow (0, +\infty]$  is called the bi-harmonic Green's function of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $G^{(2)}(x, y)$  if for each  $y \in X$ ,

$$(N(\cdot, y), G^{(2)}(\cdot, y)) \in \mathbf{P}(X) \cap \mathbf{H}(X - \{y\}).$$

We shall show the following

**Theorem 1.** *There exists the bi-harmonic Green's function  $N(x, y)$  of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $G^{(2)}(x, y)$  if and only if there exists the  $\mathbf{H}_1$ -Green's function  $G^{(1)}(x, y)$  on  $X$  and, for each  $y \in X$ ,*

$$\int G^{(1)}(x, z) G^{(2)}(z, y) d\alpha(z) < +\infty$$

for some  $x \in X$ , where  $\alpha$  is the composing measure of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $G^{(1)}(x, y)$ . In this case we have

$$N(x, y) = \int G^{(1)}(x, z) G^{(2)}(z, y) d\alpha(z).$$

**Proof.** The "only if" part is evident by Lemma 5, because for each  $y \in X$ , there exists  $N(\cdot, y)$  such that  $(N(\cdot, y), G^{(2)}(\cdot, y)) \in \mathbf{P}(X)$ .

To show the converse, put

$$p_y = \int G^{(1)}(\cdot, z) G^{(2)}(z, y) d\alpha(z)$$

for each  $y \in X$ . Then  $p_y \in \mathbf{P}_1(X)$  by Theorem 18.3 in [4] and for any  $x \in X$  and any  $\mathbf{H}$ -regular set  $\omega \ni x$ ,

$$p_y(x) = \int p_y d\mu_x^\omega = \int G^{(1)}(x, z) G^{(2)}(z, y) d\alpha(y)$$

$$\geq \int G^{(2)}(\cdot, y) d\nu_x^\omega.$$

Hence  $(p_y, G^{(2)}(\cdot, y)) \in \mathbf{P}(X)$  by Corollary 5.16 in [6]. For any  $x \in X - \{y\}$  and any  $\mathbf{H}$ -regular set  $\omega$  with  $x \in \omega \subset \bar{\omega} \subset X - \{y\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_y^{(x)} - \int p_y d\mu_x^\omega &= \int G_\omega^{(1)}(x, z) G^{(2)}(z, y) d\alpha(z) \\ &= \int G_\omega^{(1)}(x, z) \left( \int G^{(2)}(\cdot, y) d\lambda_z^\omega \right) d\alpha(z) \\ &= \int G^{(2)}(\cdot, y) d\nu_x^\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(p_y, G^{(2)}(\cdot, y)) \in \mathbf{H}(X - \{y\})$  and so there exists the bi-harmonic Green's function of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $G^{(2)}(x, y)$ .

Let  $N(x, y)$  be the bi-harmonic Green's function of  $(X, \mathbf{H})$  associated with  $G^{(2)}(x, y)$ . Since  $N(\cdot, y) \in \mathbf{P}_1(X)$  for each  $y \in X$ , there exists a unique positive Radon measure  $m_y$  on  $X$  such that

$$N(\cdot, y) = \int G^{(1)}(\cdot, z) dm_y(z).$$

Since  $(N(\cdot, y), G^{(2)}(\cdot, y)) \in \mathbf{H}(X - \{y\})$ , we have  $m_y = G^{(2)}(\cdot, y) \alpha$  on  $\omega$  for any  $\mathbf{H}$ -regular set  $\omega$  with  $\omega \subset \bar{\omega} \subset X - \{y\}$ . Hence we have

$$N(x, y) = \int G^{(1)}(x, z) G^{(2)}(z, y) d\alpha(z).$$

This completes the proof.

**Remark.** By Lemma 4 we know that  $N(\cdot, y)$  is the pure hyperharmonic function of order 2 associated with  $G^{(2)}(\cdot, y)$  for each  $y \in X$ .

Supposing the existence of the bi-harmonic Green's function, we shall give the integral representation of an  $\mathbf{H}$ -potential on  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(p_1, p_2)$  be an  $\mathbf{H}$ -potential on  $X$ . Then there exists a unique couple  $(m_1, m_2)$  of positive Radon measures on  $X$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x) &= \int N(x, y) dm_2(y) + \int G^{(1)}(x, y) dm_1(y), \\ p_2(x) &= \int G^{(2)}(x, y) dm_2(y). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let  $(p_1, p_2)$  be an  $\mathbf{H}$ -potential on  $X$  and  $q_1$  be the pure hyperharmonic function of order 2 associated with  $p_2$ . Then there exists uniquely positive Radon measures  $\beta$  and  $m_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x) &= \int G^{(1)}(x, y) d\beta(y), \\ p_2(x) &= \int G^{(2)}(x, y) dm_2(y) \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 4

$$q_1(x) = \int G^{(1)}(x, y) p_2(y) d\alpha(y).$$

Since  $(X, \mathbf{H}_2)$  is strong, there exists an increasing sequence  $\{p_2^{(n)}\}_n$  of continuous  $\mathbf{H}_2$ -potentials on  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_2^{(n)} = p_2$ . By Corollary 5.16 in [6],  $(p_1, p_2^{(n)})$  being in  $\mathbf{P}(X)$ , there exists the pure hyperharmonic function  $q_1^{(n)}$  associated with  $p_2^{(n)}$  and

$$q_1^{(n)} = \int G^{(1)}(\cdot, y) p_2^{(n)}(y) d\alpha(y).$$

Since  $p_1 - q_1^{(n)} \geq p_2 - p_2^{(n)} \geq 0$  and  $p_1 - q_1^{(n)} \geq q_1 - q_1^{(n)} \geq 0$ , we have  $p_1 - q_1^{(n)} \in \mathbf{P}_1(X)$ . Hence  $\beta - p_2^{(n)} \alpha \geq 0$  and so  $\beta - p_2 \alpha \geq 0$ . Letting  $m_1 = \beta - p_2 \alpha$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x) &= \int G^{(1)}(x, y) d\beta(y) \\ &= \int G^{(1)}(x, y) p_2(y) d\alpha(y) + \int G^{(1)}(x, y) dm_1(y) \\ &= \int G^{(1)}(x, z) \left( \int G^{(2)}(z, y) dm_2(y) \right) d\alpha(z) + \int G^{(1)}(x, y) dm_1(y) \\ &= \int N(x, y) dm_2(y) + \int G^{(1)}(x, y) dm_1(y). \end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness of this couple  $(m_1, m_2)$  of measures is evident by the uniqueness of the integral representation of an  $\mathbf{H}_j$ -potential  $p_j$  ( $j=1, 2$ ). This completes the proof.

### References

- [ 1 ] C. Constantinescu and A. Corner, *Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen*, Springer Verlag, (1963).
- [ 2 ] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, *Compactifications of harmonic spaces*, Nagoya Math. J., 25 (1965), 1-57.
- [ 3 ] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, *Potential theory on harmonic spaces*, Springer Verlag, (1972).
- [ 4 ] R. M. Hervé, *Recherches axiomatiques sur la théorie des fonctions surharmoniques et du potentiel*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 12 (1962), 415-571.
- [ 5 ] M. Itô, *Étude des fonctions polyharmoniques par la méthode du balayage*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 267 (1968), 806-809.
- [ 6 ] E. P. Smyrnélis, *Axiomatique des fonctions biharmoniques I*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 25 (1975), 35-97.
- [ 7 ] E. P. Smyrnélis, *Axiomatique des fonctions biharmoniques II*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 26 (1976), 1-47.
- [ 8 ] E. P. Smyrnélis, *Polatite et effilement dans les espaces biharmoniques*, Séminaire de Théorie du Potentiel, Paris, No. 3, Lecture Notes in Math. 681, Springer Verlag, (1978), 252-276.
- [ 9 ] E. P. Smyrnélis, *Sur les fonctions hyperharmoniques d'ordre 2*, Séminaire de Théorie du

- Potentiel, Paris No. 3, Lecture Notes in Math. 681, Springer Verlag, (1978), 277-293.
- [10] H. Tanaka, *The Riquier's problem of an elliptic bi-harmonic space*, Bull. Acad. Royale de Belgique, 64 (1978), 64-79.
- [11] B. Walsh, *Flux in axiomatic potential theory*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 19 (1969), 371-417.