

Super-Primitive Ideals and Sharma Polynomials in Polynomial Rings

Ken-ichi YOSHIDA, Susumu ODA* and Junro SATO**

*Department of Applied Math,
Okayama University of Science,
Ridai-cho 1-1, Okayama 700-0005, Japan*

**Matsusaka Commercial High School
Toyohara, Matsusaka,
Mie 515-0205, Japan*

***Osaka Junior College
Mihara, Minami-Kawachi,
Osaka 587-0022, Japan*

(Received October 6, 1997)

Abstract

In [OSY], we investigated the following: Let R be a Noetherian integral domain, let $R[X]$ be a polynomial ring and let K be the quotient field of R . Let α be an element of an algebraic field extension L of K and let $\pi : R[X] \rightarrow R[\alpha]$ denote the R -algebra homomorphism sending X to α . Let $\varphi_\alpha(X)$ be the monic minimal polynomial of α over K with $\deg \varphi_\alpha(X) = d$ and write $\varphi_\alpha(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + \eta_d$. Let $I_{[\alpha]} := \bigcap_{i=1}^d (R :_R \eta_i) (= R[X] :_R \varphi_\alpha(X))$. For $f(X) \in R[X]$, let $C(f(X))$ denote the ideal generated by the coefficients of $f(X)$. Put $J_{[\alpha]} := I_{[\alpha]} C(\varphi_\alpha(X))$, which is an ideal of R and contains $I_{[\alpha]}$. We say that the element α is an anti-integral element of degree d over R if $\text{Ker } \pi = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R[X]$. When α is an anti-integral element over R , $R[\alpha]$ is called an anti-integral extension of R . In the case $K(\alpha) = K$, an anti-integral element α is the same as an anti-integral element (i. e., $R = R[\alpha] \cap R[1/\alpha]$) defined in [OY]. The element α is called a super-primitive element of degree d over R if $J_{[\alpha]} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for all primes \mathfrak{p} of depth one.

As was seen in the above, [OSY] concerned a simple extension with certain properties by use of the ideal $\text{Ker } \pi$. This paper deals with an ideal H of $R[X]$ such that $P \cap R = (0)$ for all $P \in \text{ASS}_{R[X]}(R[X]/H)$ (i. e., exclusive) or a monic polynomial $\varphi(X)$ in $K[X]$. We define the super-primitiveness, anti-integralness and flatness of the ideal H or a polynomial $\varphi(X)$. If necessary, we can consider a simple ring-extension $R[X]/H$ or $R[X]/(\varphi(X)K[X] \cap R[X])$. When H is a prime ideal or $\varphi(X) \in K[X]$ is an irreducible polynomial, we come back to the case treated in [OSY]. When H is not a prime ideal or $\varphi(X) \in K(X)$ is not an irreducible polynomial, we can extend the super-primitiveness, anti-integralness and flatness to a simple extension which is not necessarily an integral domain.

We use the following notation throughout this paper unless otherwise specified :

Let R be a Noetherian integral domain and $R[X]$ a polynomial ring and let K is the quotient field of R . Let H be an ideal of $R[X]$ and let $\varphi(X)$ be a monic polynomial in $K[X]$.

Our unexplained technical terms are standard and are seen in [M1] and [M2].

1. Anti-Integral Ideals and Super-Primitive Ideals

Let $R[X]$ be a polynomial ring over R and let H denote an ideal of $R[X]$. We say that H is an *exclusive* ideal if $P \cap R = (0)$ for every prime ideal $P \in \text{Ass}_{R[X]}(R[X]/H)$. When H is exclusive, $H_K := H \otimes_R K \subseteq K[X]$. Since H_K is a principal ideal of $K[X]$, we can write $H_K = \varphi_H(X)K[X]$ for some monic polynomial $\varphi_H(X) \in K[X]$. Let $d = \deg \varphi_H(X)$ and put $\varphi_H(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + \eta_d$ with $\eta_i \in K$. Let $I_H := \bigcap_{i=1}^d I_{\eta_i} (= R[X]_{R\varphi_H(X)})$. Then $I_H \varphi_H(X) R[X] \subseteq R[X]$. For $f(X) \in K[X]$, $C(f(X))$ denotes the content ideal of $f(X)$, the fractional ideal of R generated by the coefficients of $f(X)$.

Definition 1.1. Let H be an exclusive ideal of $R[X]$.

(1) The ideal H is an *anti-integral ideal* of $R[X]$ or is of *anti-integral type* if $H = I_H \varphi_H(X) R[X]$.

(2) The ideal H is a *super-primitive ideal* of $R[X]$ or is of *super-primitive type* if $\text{grade}(I_H C(\varphi_H(X))) > 1$.

Remark 1.2. Assume that the ideal H of $R[X]$ is exclusive. Then $H_K \cap R[X] = H$. In particular, the inclusion $I_H \varphi_H(X) R[X] \subseteq H$ holds. Indeed, since $H_K \supseteq H$ asserts the inclusion $H \subseteq H_K \cap R[X]$. Conversely, take any $f(X) \in \varphi_H K[X] \cap R[X]$. Then $f(X) = \varphi_H(X) \zeta(X)$ for some $\zeta(X) \in K[X]$. Since $f(X) \in H_K$, there exists a non-zero $a \in R$ such that $af(X) \in H$. Since H is exclusive over R , we have that $a \notin P$ for each $P \in \text{Ass}_{R[X]}(R[X]/H)$. Thus $f(X) \in H$, which implies that $H \supseteq H_K \cap R[X]$.

Proposition 1.3. Assume that H is an exclusive ideal of $R[X]$. If H is generated by some polynomials of the least degree, then H is anti-integral type.

Proof. Let d denote the least degree of a polynomial in H . Then $\varphi_H(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + \eta_d (\eta_i \in K)$. Take $f(X) = a_0 X^d + a_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d \in H$ with $a_i \in R$. Since $f(X) \in H$, we have $a_i/a_0 = \eta_i (1 \leq i \leq d)$. So $a_0 \in I_H$ and hence $f(X) = a_0 \varphi_H(X)$. Thus $H \subseteq I_H \varphi_H(X) R[X]$. Since $I_H \varphi_H(X) R[X] \subseteq H$ by Remark 1.2, we have $H = I_H \varphi_H(X) R[X]$, which means that H is an anti-integral ideal. \square

Let $f(X) = a_0 X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ be a polynomial in $R[X]$. We say that $f(X)$ is a *Sharma polynomial* in $R[X]$ if there does not exist $t \in R$ with $t \notin a_0 R$ such that $ta_i \in a_0 R$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

We require the following result seen in [OSY] :

Lemma 1.4. ([OSY, (1.2)]). Let $f(X)$ be a polynomial in $R[X]$. Then $f(X)$ is a Sharma polynomial if and only if $C(f(X)) \not\subseteq P$ for any $P \in \text{Dp}_1(R) := \{Q \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{depth}_{R_Q} = 1\}$.

Lemma 1.5. (cf. [OSY, (1.3)]). Assume that an ideal H is exclusive and that \deg

$\varphi_H(X) = d$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) H is a principle ideal of $R[X]$;
- (ii) I_H is a principle ideal of R ;
- (iii) there exists a Sharma polynomial in H of degree d .

If one of the above conditions holds, then H is generated by a Sharma polynomial.

Proof. (iii) \Rightarrow (i) : Let $f(X)$ be a Sharma polynomial in H of degree d . Since $\deg_{\varphi_H}(X) = d$, this Sharma polynomial has the least degree. So by [S], H is principal.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Let $H = f(X)R[X]$. Then $f(X)R[X] \supseteq I_H\varphi_H(X)R[X]$. Note that $H \otimes_R K = f(X)K[X] = \varphi_H(X)K[X]$ and hence $\deg f(X) = \deg_{\varphi_H}(X) = d$. Take $a \in I_H$. Then $a\varphi_H(X) = bf(X)$ for some $b \in R$. Let $f(X) = a_0X^d + \dots + a_d$ with $a_i \in R$. Then $a = ba_0$, so that $I_H \supseteq a_0R$ for some $b \in R$. Since $ba_0\eta_i = a\eta_i = ba_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$), we have $a_0\eta_i = a_i \in R$. Hence $a_0 = I_H$, which implies that $I_H = a_0R$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) : Let $I_H = bR$. Then $I_H\varphi_H(X)R[X] = b\varphi_H(X)R[X] \subseteq H$ and $b\eta_i \in R$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$). Suppose that there exists $t \notin bR$ with $t\eta_i \in bR$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$). Then $t\eta_i \in R$ and hence $t \in I_H = bR$, a contradiction. Thus $b\varphi_H(X) \in R[X]$ is a Sharma polynomial of degree d . \square

Proposition 1. 6. Assume that H is an exclusive ideal. If H is a super-primitive ideal of $R[X]$, then H is an anti-integral ideal of $R[X]$.

Proof. Since H is exclusive, $H \supseteq I_{H\varphi_H}(X)R[X]$ by Remark 1. 2. Take $f(X) \in H$. Then since $f(X) \in H_K$, there exists a non-zero element $a \in R$ such that $af(X) \in I_{H\varphi_H}(X)R[X]$. Take an arbitrary $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$. Then $I_H C(\varphi_H(X)) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ because $\text{grade}(I_{H\varphi_H}(X)R[X]) > 1$. So there exists a Sharma polynomial in $H_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of the least degree. By Lemma 1. 4, we have $H_{\mathfrak{p}} = g(X)R_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$ for some $g(X) = b\varphi_H(X)$ with $b \in R$. Since $f(X) \in H_{\mathfrak{p}}$, there exists $c \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $cf(X) \in I_{H\varphi_H}(X)R[X]$. Thus $f(X) \in I_{H\varphi_H}(X)R[X]$. \square

Remark 1. 7. If H is a super-primitive ideal of $R[X]$, then $\text{grade}(C(H)) > 1$. But the converse statement is not necessarily valid as is seen in the example below.

Example 1. 8. Consider an integral domain R satisfying the condition : $R \not\subseteq \bar{R}$, where \bar{R} denotes the integral closure. Take $\alpha \in \bar{R}$ but $\alpha \notin R$. Then we have an exact sequence :

$$0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow R[X] \rightarrow R[\alpha] \rightarrow 0 \text{ (exact)}$$

Note that H is a prime ideal of $R[X]$. In this case, H contains a monic polynomial (i, e., a polynomial giving an integral dependence of α). Hence $C(H) = R$. Suppose that H is a super-primitive ideal, then H is an anti-integral ideal by Proposition 1. 6. Since $H = I_{\varphi_H(X)}^R\varphi_H(X)R[X]$, we have $I_{\varphi_H(X)}^R C(\varphi_H(X)) = C(H) = R$. Thus α is flat over R (cf. [OSY, (2. 6)]). Since α is an integral flat element in K , $R[\alpha] = R$, that is, $\alpha \in R$, which is a contradiction. Therefore H is not a super-primitive ideal.

Theorem 1. 9. Assume that H is an exclusive ideal of $R[X]$.

- (1) If H is of anti-integral type and $\text{grade}(C(H)) > 1$, then H is of super-primitive type.
- (2) If H is of anti-integral type and H contains a Sharma polynomial, then H is of

super-primitive type.

Proof. Note that $H = I_H\varphi_H(X)R[X]$ by the assumption. Since $C(H) = I_H C(\varphi_H(X))$, (1) is valid. The statement (2) follows from Lemma 1. 4. \square

Let H be an exclusive ideal of $R[X]$. Define $J_H := I_H C(\varphi_H(X))$, an ideal of R .

Proposition 1. 10. *Let H be an exclusive ideal of $R[X]$.*

(1) *If $J_H = R$, then $R[X]/H$ is flat over R .*

(2) *If $J_H = R$, then H is of super-primitive type and I_H is an invertible ideal of R .*

Proof. (1) Take $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Since $R = J_H = I_H C(\varphi_H(X))$, there exists $b \in I_H$ such that $(I_H)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a principal ideal $bR_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So $(I_H)_{\mathfrak{p}} = b\varphi_H(X)R_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$. It follows that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(I_H)_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/b\varphi_H(X)R_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$. Thus $R_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/b\varphi_H(X)R_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$ is flat over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by [M1, (20. F)] because $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (J_H)_{\mathfrak{p}} = C(b\varphi_H(X))_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence $R[X]/H$ is flat over R .

(2) Since $R = J_H = I_H C(\varphi_H(X))$, I_H is an invertible ideal of R and H is a super-primitive ideal by definition. \square

Corollary 1. 10. 1. *Let H be an exclusive ideal of $R[X]$. If $J_H = R$, then $H = I_H\varphi_H(X)R[X]$ and H is an invertible ideal of $R[X]$. Furthermore H^{ℓ} ($\ell > 0$) is also an invertible ideal of $R[X]$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1. 10 (2), H is of super-primitive type and hence H is of anti-integral type by Proposition 1. 6. So $H = I_H\varphi_H(X)R[X]$, which is an invertible ideal of $R[X]$. \square

Remark 1. 11. Assume that H satisfies the condition in Corollary 12. 1. Let $J := \{a \in R \mid a\varphi_H(X) \in R[X]\}$, an ideal of R . Then $H^{\ell} = J\varphi_H(X)^{\ell} R[X]$ and $J = (I_H)^{\ell}$.

2. Sharma Polynomials

In this section, we investigate how a Sharma polynomial works.

Proposition 2. 1. *Let $f(X)$ be a Sharma polynomial. Then $f(X)R[X]$ does not have any embedded prime divisor.*

Proof. Let P be a prime divisor of $f(X)R[X]$. Then $\text{depth } R(X)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} := P \cap R \neq (0)$. Then $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ and $P = \mathfrak{p}R[X]$. Thus $f(X) \in P = \mathfrak{p}R[X]$, which implies that $C(f(X)) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $\text{grade}(C(f(X))) = 1$. This contradicts the assumption that $f(X)$ is a Sharma polynomial. So we have $P \cap R = (0)$. Since $P = P_{\mathfrak{k}} \cap R[X]$ and $\text{ht}(P) = 1$, $f(X)R[X]$ has no embedded prime divisor. \square

Remark 2. 2. Let $f(X)$ be a Sharma polynomial in $R(X)$ and let P be a prime divisor of $f(X)R[X]$. It does not necessarily follow that P is of super-primitive type. But if P is of anti-integral type, then P is of super-primitive type. Indeed, consider the exact sequence :

$$0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow R[X] \rightarrow R[\alpha] \rightarrow 0,$$

where α denotes $X \bmod P$. In this case, since P contains a Sharma polynomial $f(X)$, α is a super-primitive element over R by [OSY, (1. 12)] . Next consider $R = \bar{R}$. If $P \cap R = (0)$, then P is of super-primitive type (cf. [OSY,(1. 13)]).

Proposition 2. 3. *Let $f(X) \in R[X]$ be a Sharma polynomial. Assume that $f(X)$ is irreducible in $K[X]$. Then $f(X)R[X]$ is a prime ideal in $R[X]$.*

Proof. Let P be a prime divisor of $f(X)R[X]$. Then $f(X) \in \varphi_P(X)K[X]$. Since $f(X)$ is irreducible, there exists $a \in R$ such that $f(X) = a\varphi_P(X)$ ($a \in P$). Since $\text{grade}(C(f(X))) > 1$, P is of super-primitive type. So P is of anti-integral type by Proposition 1.6 and hence $P = I_P\varphi_P(X)R[X]$. Thus $f(X)R[X] = P$. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let $f(X)$ be a Sharma polynomial in $R[X]$. Let P be a prime ideal in $R[X]$ such that P is a unique prime divisor of the ideal $f(X)R[X]$. Then P is of super-primitive type.*

Proof. By the assumption, $f(X)K[X] = \varphi_P(X)^\ell K[X]$. Since $f(X)$ is a Sharma polynomial, we have $f(X)K[X] \cap R[X] = f(X)R[X]$ (cf. [S, Remark 5]). Hence $f(X)R[X] \subseteq (I_P\varphi_P(X))^\ell R[X]$. So since $\text{grade}(C(f(X))) > 1$, we have $\text{grade}(I_P\varphi_P(X)) > 1$. Hence P is of super-primitive type. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\varphi(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + \eta_d$ ($\eta_i \in K$) be a monic irreducible polynomial in $K[X]$. Put $I_{\varphi(X)}^R := \bigcap_{i=1}^d I_{\eta_i}$ ($= R[X] :_R \varphi(X)$). If $\text{grade}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X))) > 1$, then $\varphi(X)K[X] \cap R[X] = I_{\varphi(X)}^R \varphi(X)R[X] \in \text{Spec}(R[X])$.*

Proof. Since $\varphi(X)K[X] \in \text{Spec}(K[X])$, we have $P := \varphi(X)K[X] \cap R[X] \in \text{Spec}(R[X])$. By construction, $P \supseteq I_{\varphi(X)}^R R[X]$. By the assumption,

$$\text{grade}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X))) > 1.$$

Thus P is of super-primitive type. So we obtain $P = I_{\varphi(X)}^R \varphi(X)R[X] \in \text{Spec}(R[X])$. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let $f(X)$ be a Sharma polynomial in $R[X]$ and let $f(X) = a\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} \dots \varphi_t(X)^{e_t}$ be a products of irreducible polynomials $\varphi_i(X) \in K[X]$ and $a \in R$. Then $f(X)R[X] = (\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} K[X] \cap R[X]) \cap \dots \cap (\varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X] \cap R[X])$ is a primary decomposition.*

Proof. Since $f(X)$ is a Sharma polynomial, we have $f(X)R[X] = f(X)K[X] \cap R[X] = \varphi_1(X)^{e_1} \dots \varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X] \cap R[X] = (\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} K[X] \cap \dots \cap (\varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X]) \cap R[X] = (\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} K[X] \cap R[X]) \cap \dots \cap (\varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X] \cap R[X])$. Since $f(X) \in \varphi_i(X)K[X] \cap R[X]$, the prime ideal $\varphi_i(X)K[X] \cap R[X]$ is of super-primitive type. So $\varphi_i(X)K[X] \cap R[X] = I_{\varphi_i(X)}^R R[X]$ by Lemma 2.5. Thus we see that $\varphi_i(X)^{e_i} K[X] \cap R[X]$ is an $I_{\varphi_i(X)}^R R[X]$ -primary ideal. \square

Proposition 2.7. *Let $I \subseteq J$ be ideals of $R[X]$. Assume that $I \otimes_R K = J \otimes_R K$ and that for each $p \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$, JR_p contains a Sharma polynomial over R_p of the least degree. Then $I = J$.*

Proof. Take $f(X) \in I$. Then $f(X) \in I \subseteq I \otimes_R K = J \otimes_R K$. Hence there exists $a \in R$ such that $af(X) \in J$. Let p be a prime divisor of aR . Then $p \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$. By the assumption, $J_p = g(X)R_p[X]$, $C(g(X)) = R_p$ for some $g(X) \in J$. Put $f(X) = g(X)\zeta(X)$ with $\zeta(X) \in R_p[X]$. Then there exists $b \in R \setminus p$ such that $bf(X) \in J$. Since a, b is a regular sequence, we have $f(X) \in J$. \square

3. Super-Primitive Polynomials and Sharma Polynomials

Definition 3.1. Let $\varphi(X)$ be a monic polynomial in $K[X]$ and let $I_{\varphi(X)}^R := R[X] :_R \varphi(X)$. The polynomial $\varphi(X)$ is called a *super-primitive polynomial* if grade

$(I_\varphi^R C(\varphi(X))) > 1$.

Let \bar{R} denote the integral closure of R in K and let $C(\bar{R}/R)$ denote the conductor ideal between R and \bar{R} . For an element $\eta \in K$, we put $I_\eta := \{a \in R \mid a\eta \in R\}$.

Remark 3. 2. Assume that $R \not\subseteq \bar{R}$.

(1) There exists a polynomial in $K[X]$ which is not super-primitive. In fact, take $\eta \in \bar{R} \setminus R$ and let $\varphi(X) := X - \eta$. Then $\varphi(X)$ is not super-primitive (cf. Example 3. 9. below).

(2) When $\eta \in K$ satisfies $\text{grade}(I_\eta + C(\bar{R}/R)) > 1$, the polynomial $X - \eta$ is super-primitive. More generally, let $\varphi(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + \eta_d$ ($\eta_i \in K$) satisfy $\text{grade}(I_{\eta_i} + C(\bar{R}/R)) > 1$ for all i . Then $\text{grade}(\bigcap_{i=1}^d I_{\eta_i} + C(\bar{R}/R)) = \text{grade}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R + C(\bar{R}/R)) > 1$. Then $\varphi(X)$ is super-primitive (cf. Proposition 3. 10).

Proposition 3. 3. *Let B be an ideal of R . Assume that every prime divisor of B is contained in $\text{Dp}_1(R)$. Let a, b be a regular sequence and $f \in R$. If $af, bf \in B$, then $f \in B$.*

Proof. Consider a primary decomposition of B :

$$B = q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_n,$$

where $\sqrt{q_i} = p_i$. Then $p_i \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Take p_i . Then a, b is an R -regular sequence. So $a \notin p_i$ or $b \notin p_i$. If $a \notin p_i$, then $f \in q_i R_{p_i} \cap R = q_i$. A similar argument is applicable to the case $b \notin p_i$. Hence we conclude that $f \in B$. \square

Proposition 3. 4. *Let $\varphi(X) \in K[x]$ be an irreducible super-primitive polynomial. Let $I := I_{\varphi(X)}^R$ and $J := I_{\varphi(X)}^{R, \ell}$ ($\ell > 0$). Then $I\varphi(X)R[X]$ is a prime ideal of $R[X]$ and $J\varphi(X)^\ell R[X]$ is $I\varphi(X)R[X]$ -primary ideal.*

Proof. Since $\varphi(X)^\ell K[X]$ is $\varphi(X)K[X]$ -primary, the ideal $\varphi(X)^\ell K[X] \cap R[X]$ is $\varphi(X)K[X] \cap R[X]$ -primary. Since $\varphi(X)$ is super-primitive and hence anti-integral. So $\varphi(X)K[X] \cap R[X] = I\varphi(X)R[X]$. Thus we have only to prove that $\varphi(X)^\ell K[X] \cap R[X] = J\varphi(X)^\ell R[X]$. The implication (\supseteq) is obvious. We shall show that the implication (\subseteq) holds. Since J is a denominator ideal in R , J is a divisorial ideal. So $JR[X] (\subseteq R[X])$ is also a divisorial ideal. Hence $J\varphi(X)^\ell R[X] (\subseteq R[X])$ is a divisorial ideal. Thus any prime divisor of $J\varphi(X)^\ell R[X]$ is of depth one. Take $f(X) \in \varphi(X)^\ell K[X] \cap R[X]$. Then $f(X)/\varphi(X)^\ell \in K[X]$. We must show that $f(X)/\varphi(X)^\ell \in JR[X]$. Let p be any prime divisor of J . Then $p \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$. In this case, we have to show $f(X)/\varphi(X)^\ell \in (JR[X])_p$. Since $(I\varphi(X))^\ell R[X] \subseteq J\varphi(X)^\ell R[X]$, we have $\text{grade}(JC(\varphi(X)^\ell)) > 1$. Hence $J_p = aR_p$ for some $a \in J$. Put $g(X) = a\varphi(X)^\ell$. Then $g(X) \in J$ and $C(g(X))R_p = R_p$, which shows $f(X) \in g(X)R_p[X]$. Thus $f(X)/\varphi(X)^\ell \in (g(X)/\varphi(X)^\ell)R_p[X] = aR_p[X] = (JR[X])_p$. From this, we get $\varphi(X)^\ell K[X] \cap R[X] = J\varphi(X)^\ell R[X]$. By Lemma 2. 5, $I\varphi(X)R[X] \in \text{Spec}(R[X])$. Therefore we have $J\varphi(X)^\ell R[X]$ is an $I\varphi(X)$ -primary ideal. \square

Theorem 3. 5. *Let $\varphi_1(X), \dots, \varphi_t(X) \in K[X]$ be irreducible super-primitive polynomials. Let $I_i := I_{\varphi_i(X)}^R$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$) and let $J_i := I_{\varphi_i(X)}^{R, e_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq t, e_i > 0$).*

Then

$$\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots \varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X] \cap R[X] = \bigcap_{i=1}^t (J_i \varphi_i(X)^{e_i} R[X])$$

and this is a primary decomposition of the left side ideal, where $J_i \varphi_i(X)^{e_i} R[X]$ is an $I_i \varphi_i(X) R(X)$ -primary ideal for each $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Proof. It follows that $\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots \varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X] \cap R[X] = (\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} K[X] \cap \cdots \cap \varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X]) \cap R[X] = (\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} K[X] \cap R[X]) \cap \cdots \cap (\varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X] \cap R[X]) \cap R[X] = J_1 \varphi_1(X)^{e_1} R[X] \cap \cdots \cap J_t \varphi_t(X)^{e_t} R[X]$ by Proposition 1. 6. By Proposition 3. 4, $J_i \varphi_i(X)^{e_i} R[X]$ is an $I_i \varphi_i(X) R[X]$ -primary ideal for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. \square

Proposition 3. 6. *Let $\varphi_1(X), \dots, \varphi_t(X) \in K[X]$ be irreducible super-primitive polynomials. Then $\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots \varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X] \cap R[X]$ is a principal ideal at each $P \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$.*

Proof. Let $J := R[X] :_{R[X]} \varphi_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots \varphi_t(X)^{e_t}$ and let $I_i := R[X] :_{R[X]} \varphi_i(X)$. Since $\varphi_i(X)$ is a super-primitive polynomial, we have $\text{grade}(I_i C(\varphi_i(X))) > 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Note that $(I_1)^{e_1} \cdots (I_t)^{e_t} \subseteq J$, which yields

$$\text{grade}(JC(\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots \varphi_t(X)^{e_t})) > 1.$$

Take $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$. There exists $f_i(X) \in I_i \varphi_i(X)$ such that $C(f_i(X)) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Put $f(X) := f_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots f_t(X)^{e_t}$. Then $C(f(X)) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Since $f(X) \in \varphi_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots \varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X] \cap R[X]$ and $f(X)$ has the least degree, it follows that $(\varphi_1(X)^{e_1} \cdots \varphi_t(X)^{e_t} K[X] \cap R[X])_{\mathfrak{p}} = f(X)R[X]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by [S, Remark 5]. \square

Remark 3. 7. Let $\varphi(X) \in K[X]$ be a monic polynomial and let $I := I_{\varphi(X)}^R$. If $I\varphi(X)R[X]$ contains a Sharma polynomial, then $\varphi(X)$ is a super-primitive polynomial. Indeed, take a Sharma polynomial $f(X) \in I\varphi(X)R[X]$. Suppose there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$ such that $IC(\varphi(X)) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then $I\varphi(X)R[X] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}R[X]$ and hence $f(X) \in \mathfrak{p}R[X]$. Thus $C(f(X)) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, which contradicts the assumption that $f(X)$ is a Sharma polynomial.

Proposition 3. 8. *Let $\varphi(X) \in K[X]$ be a monic polynomial. Then $\varphi(X)$ is a super-primitive polynomial if and only if $I_{\varphi(X)}^R \varphi(X)R[X]$ contains a Sharma polynomial.*

Proof. The implication (\Leftarrow) follows from Remark 3. 7.

(\Rightarrow) Take a non-zero element $a \in I_{\varphi(X)}^R$ and put $f_1(X) = a\varphi(X)$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \supseteq C(f_1(X))$. Since $\varphi(X)$ is a super-primitive polynomial, we have $I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X)) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$. There exists $g_i(X) \in I_{\varphi(X)}^R \varphi(X)R[X]$ such that $C(g_i(X)) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Put $f(X) = f_1(X)g_1(X) \cdots g_n(X)$. In this case, $C(f(X)) \subseteq C(f_1(X))$, $C(g_i(X)) (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Thus for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$, $C(f(X)) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $f(X)$ is a Sharma polynomial and $f(X) \in I_{\varphi(X)}^R \varphi(X)R[X]$. \square

The following example shows that an irreducible factor $\varphi(X)$ in $K[X]$ of a Sharma polynomial $f(X)$ is not always a super-primitive polynomial.

Example 3. 9. Assume that $R \not\subseteq \bar{R}$. Take $\alpha \in \bar{R} \setminus R$. Since α is integral over R , there exists a monic polynomial $f(X)$ in $R[X]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Note that $C(f(X)) = R$ because $f(X)$ is monic. Thus $f(X)$ is a Sharma polynomial. It is obvious that $X - \alpha$ is a factor of $f(X)$ in $K[X]$. Suppose $X - \alpha$ is anti-integral. Then $f(X) \in (X$

$-a)K[X] \cap R[X] = I_\alpha(X-a)R[X]$. So $R = C(f(X)) \subseteq I_\alpha C(X-a)$, which means that $R[\alpha] \cong R[X]/(X-a)$ is flat over R (cf. [OSY, (2.6)]). Since α is integral over R , we have $R[\alpha] = R$, that is, $\alpha \in R$, which is a contradiction. Therefore the polynomial $X-a$ is not an anti-integral polynomial, and hence $X-a$ is not a super-primitive polynomial.

Proposition 3. 10. *Let $\varphi(X)$ be a monic polynomial in $K[X]$.*

(1) *If $\text{grade}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R + C(\bar{R}/R)) > 1$, then $\text{grade}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X))) > 1$.*

(2) *$\text{grade}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X))) > 1$ if and only if $I_{\varphi(X)}^R \varphi(X)R[X]$ contains a Sharma polynomial.*

(3) *If $R = \bar{R}$, then $\text{grade}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X))) > 1$.*

Proof. (1) Take $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$. If $I_{\varphi(X)}^R \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then $I_{\varphi(X)}^R \subseteq I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X))$. So $I_{\varphi(X)}^R \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. On the other hand, if $I_{\varphi(X)}^R \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then $C(\bar{R}/R) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ by the assumption and hence $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a DVR. Let $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\)$ denote the valuation of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R) = e$, then $(I_{\varphi(X)}^R)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}^e R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and there exists i such that $V_{\mathfrak{p}}(\eta_i) = -e > 0$, where $\varphi(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + \eta_d$ with $\eta_j \in K$. Thus $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R \eta_i) = 0$. Hence $(I_{\varphi(X)}^R \eta_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus $(I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X)))_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This show that $I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X)) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore we obtain that $\text{grade}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X))) > 1$.

(2) (\Rightarrow) : Take $a \in I_{\varphi(X)}^R$ and put $f(X) = a\varphi(X)$. If $f(X)$ is a Sharma polynomial, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $f(X)$ is not a Sharma polynomial. Then there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Dp}_1(R)$ such that $C(f(X)) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Take a non-zero element $b \in C(f(X))$. Then \mathfrak{p} is a prime divisor of the ideal bR because $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$. Since the number of prime divisors of bR is finite, the number of prime ideals in $\text{Dp}_1(R)$ containing $C(f(X))$ is also finite. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be the prime ideals containing $C(f(X))$. Since $I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X)) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ by the assumption, there exists $g_i(X) \in I_{\varphi(X)}^R \varphi(X)$ such that $g_i(X) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i R[X]$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Put $g(X) := f(X) + X^d g_1(X) + \cdots + X^{nd} g_n(X)$. Then $C(g(X)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (C(g_i(X)) + C(f(X))) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$. Thus $g(X)$ is a Sharma polynomial.

(2) (\Leftarrow) : Let $f(X)$ be a Sharma polynomial in $I_{\varphi(X)}^R \varphi(X)R[X]$. Then we can express $f(X) = \sum f_i(X)g_i(X)$ with $f_i(X) \in I_{\varphi(X)}^R \varphi(X)$ and $g_i(X) \in R[X]$. Suppose that there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Dp}_1(R)$ such that $I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X)) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then $f_i(X) \in \mathfrak{p}R[X]$ and hence $f(X) \in \mathfrak{p}R[X]$, which contradicts the assumption that $f(X)$ is a Sharma polynomial.

(3) follows from $C(\bar{R}/R) = R$ and (1). \square

In the above Proposition 3. 10, the converse implication of (1) does not always valid as is seen in following example.

Example 3. 11. Let k be a field and let t be an indeterminate. Let $R := k[t^2, t^3]$. Then $\bar{R} = k[t]$. Let $\varphi(X) := X^2 + X + 1/t^2 \in K[X] := k(t)[X]$. Then $I_{\varphi(X)}^R = t^2 R$ and $I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X)) = t^2(1, 1/t^2)R = R$. So we have $\text{grade}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R C(\varphi(X))) = \text{grade}(R) > 1$, but $\text{grade}(I_{\varphi(X)}^R + C(\bar{R}/R)) = \text{grade}(t^2 R + tR) = \text{grade}(tR) = 1$.

Remark 3. 12. Consider a Noetherian domain R satisfying $R \cong \bar{R}$ and let $\varphi(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + \eta_d \in K[X]$. Assume that every $\eta_i \in \bar{R}$ and that $\eta_j \notin R$ for some j . Then $I_{\varphi(X)}^R \varphi(X)R[X]$ does not contain any Sharma polynomial.

References

- [M1] H. Matsumura : Commutative Algebra (2nd ed.), Benjamin, New York, 1980.
- [M2] H. Matsumura : Commutative Ring Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [OSY] S. Oda, J. Sato and K. Yoshida : High degree anti-integral extensions of Noetherian domains, Osaka J. Math., **30** (1993), 119-135.
- [OY] S. Oda and K. Yoshida : Anti-integral extensions of Noetherian domains, Kobe J. Math., **5** (1988), 43-56.
- [S] P. Sharma : A note on ideals in polynomial rings, Arch. Math., **37** (1981), 325-329.