

On Exclusive Extensions of Noetherian Domains

Ken-ich YOSHIDA, Junro SATO and Susumu ODA*

Department of Applied Math.

Okayama University of Science,

Ridai-cho, Okayama 700, Japan

**Uji-Yamada High School,*

Uraguchi, Ise, Mie 516 Japan.

(Received September 30, 1993)

Let R be a Noetherian integral domain and $R[X]$ a polynomial ring. Let γ be an element of a field extension L of the quotient field K of R . If $\gamma \in L$ is transcendental over R , then $R[\gamma] \cap K = R$, and if $\gamma \in K$ then $R[\gamma] \cap K = R[\gamma]$. So we consider an element algebraic over R .

We study the following problem:

Problem Let R be a Noetherian integral domain, let K denote the quotient field of R and let α be an algebraic element over R of degree d . When does $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$?

In what follows, we use the following notations unless otherwise specified.

R : a Noetherian integral domain,

$K := K(R)$: the quotient field of R ,

L = an algebraic field extension of K ,

α : a non-zero element of L ,

$d = [K(\alpha) : K]$,

$\varphi_\alpha(X) = X^d + \eta_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + \eta_d$, the minimal polynomial of α over K .

$I_{[\alpha]} := \bigcap_{i=1}^d (R :_R \eta_i)$, which is an ideal of R .

$I_a := R :_R aR$ for $a \in K$.

It is clear that for $a \in K$, $I_{[a]} = I_a$ by definition.

We also use the following standard notation:

$Dp_1(R) := \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{depth } R_p = 1\}$.

Throughout this paper, all fields, rings and algebras are assumed to be commutative with unity. Our special notations are indicated above, and our general reference for unexplained technical terms is [M].

We start with the following definition.

Definition 1. When $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$, we say that α is an *exclusive* element over R and that $R[\alpha]$ is an *exclusive* extension of R .

Proposition 1. If $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i} \subseteq I_{\eta_d}$.

Proof. Note that $\alpha^d + \eta_1 \alpha^{d-1} + \cdots + \eta_d = 0$. Take $\alpha \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i}$. Then $a\eta_d = -(\alpha^d + a\eta_1 \alpha^{d-1} + \cdots + a\eta_{d-1} \alpha) \in R[\alpha] \cap K = R$. Thus $a \in I_{\eta_d}$, which means that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i} \subseteq I_{\eta_d}$. \square

Let $\pi : R[X] \rightarrow R[\alpha]$ be the R -algebra homomorphism sending X to α . The element α is called an *anti-integral* element of degree d over R if $\text{Ker } \pi = I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_\alpha(X) R(X)$. When α is an anti-integral element over R , $R[\alpha]$ is called an *anti-integral extension* of R . (See [OSY] for details) For $f(X) \in R[X]$, let $C(f(X))$ denote the ideal generated by the coefficients of $f(X)$, that is, the content ideal of $f(X)$. Let $J_{[\alpha]} := I_{[\alpha]} C(\varphi_\alpha(X))$, which is an ideal of R and contains $I_{[\alpha]}$. The element α is called a *super-primitive* element of degree d over R if $J_{[\alpha]} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for all primes \mathfrak{p} of depth one. It is known that a super-primitive element is an anti-integral element (See [OSY] for details).

Corollary 1.1. Assume that α is super primitive over R . When $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i} + I_{\eta_d} = R$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) α is exclusive over R i.e., $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$,
- (ii) $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i} \subseteq I_{\eta_d}$.

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i): By [OSuY, Proposition 13], we have $R[\alpha] \cap K = R[(\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i}) \eta_d] = R$ because $(\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i}) \eta_d \subseteq R$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Proposition 1. \square

By [OSuy, Proposition 9], we have the following result:

Corollary 1.2. If $I_{[\alpha]} = R$, then $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$. Moreover if α is super-primitive over R , then $I_{\eta_d} = R$ implies $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$.

The following lemma is very elementary, but we give a proof for convenience.

Lemma 2. Let $f(X) \in R[X]$ be a monic polynomial and let $g(X) \in K[X]$. If $f(X)g(X)$ is a monic polynomial in $R[X]$, then $g(X) \in R[X]$.

Proof. Put $f(X) = X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ with $a_i \in R$ and $g(X) = \zeta_0 X^m + \cdots + \zeta_m$ with $\zeta_i \in K$. Since $f(X)g(X)$ monic, we have $\zeta_0 = 1$. Assume that $\zeta_0 = 1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_{\ell-1} \in R$ and $\zeta_\ell \notin R$. Then the coefficient of the degree $(\ell + n)$ -term is $\zeta_\ell + a_1 \zeta_{\ell-1} + \cdots + a_\ell \zeta_0 \in R$. Hence $\zeta_\ell \in R$, a contradiction. Thus $\zeta_i \in R$ for all i . So we have $g(X) \in R$. \square

Proposition 3. (cf. [OSY, (2.2)]) Assume that R is normal. Then α is integral over R if and only if $I_{[\alpha]} = R$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow): Since R is normal, $\varphi_\alpha(X) \in R[X]$ by [M,(9.2)]. By [OSY, (1.13)], α is super-primitive and hence anti-integral because R is a Krull domain. Hence $I_{[\alpha]} = R$ follows from [OSY,(2.2)].

(\Leftarrow): Since $I_{[\alpha]} = R$, we have $\text{Ker } \pi = I_{[\alpha]}\varphi_\alpha(X)R[X] = \varphi_\alpha(X)R[X]$. Thus $\varphi_\alpha(\alpha) = 0$ gives rise to an integral dependence. So α is integral over R . \square

Corollary 3.1. Assume that R is normal, If α is integral over R , then α is an exclusive element over R .

Proof. By Proposition 3, $I_{[\alpha]} = R$ and hence $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$ by Corollary 1.2. \square

Proposition 4. If $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i} = R$ and α is exclusive over R i.e., $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$, then $R[\alpha]$ is integral over R .

Proof. By Proposition 1, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i} \subseteq I_{\eta_d}$ and hence $I_{[\alpha]} = \bigcap_{i=1}^d I_{\eta_i} = R$. Thus $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d \in R$, which means $\varphi_\alpha(X) \in R[X]$ and $\varphi_\alpha(\alpha) = 0$. This yields that α is integral over R . \square

In [OY,(1.3)], we see that if $\alpha \in K$ is both integral and anti-integral over R then $\alpha \in R$. For the case $d \geq 0$ we have a similar result as follows.

Theorem 5. If α is both anti-integral and integral over R , then α is exclusive over R , i.e., $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$.

Proof. Since α is integral over R , there exists a monic polynomial $f(X) \in R[X]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Since α is anti-integral over R , we have $\text{Ker } \pi = I_{[\alpha]}\varphi_\alpha(X)R[X]$. Hence $f(X) \in \text{Ker } \pi = I_{[\alpha]}\varphi_\alpha(X)R[X]$. So there exists a polynomial $g(X) \in I_{[\alpha]}R[X]$ such that $f(X) = \varphi_\alpha(X)g(X)$. Since $f(X)$ and $\varphi_\alpha(X)$ is monic, $g(X)$ is monic. Thus $\varphi_\alpha(X) \in R[X]$ by Lemma 2, which shows that $I_{[\alpha]} = R$. Therefore $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$ by Corollary 1.2. \square

Proposition 6. There exists a non-zero element $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that aa is exclusive over R .

Proof. Take $a \in I_{[\alpha]} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\varphi_{aa}(X) = a^d \varphi_\alpha(X) = (aX)^d + \dots + a^d \eta_d \in R[X]$. Hence $I_{[a^d \alpha]} = R$ implies that $R[aa] \cap K = R$ by Corollary 1.2. \square

Lemma 7. Let a be a non-zero element in R . If α is exclusive over R , then α is also exclusive over $R[1/a]$.

Proof. Since $R[1/a]$ is flat over R , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (R[a] \cap K) \otimes_R R[1/a] &= R \otimes_R R[1/a], \\ R[a] \otimes_R R[1/a] \cap K \otimes_R R[1/a] &= R[1/a], \\ R[1/a][a] \cap K &= R[1/a]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2. Let

$$E(a) = \{a \in R \mid R[1/a][a] \cap K = R[1/a]\} \cup \{0\}.$$

As is seen in the next theorem, $E(a)$ represents the obstruction ideal of exclusiveness of a .

Theorem 8. $E(a)$ is a radical ideal of R .

Proof. Let $b \in R$ and $a \in E(a)$. Note here that $R[1/(ab)] = R[1/a, 1/b]$. Hence $R[1/(ab)][a] \cap K = R[1/a][1/b][a] \cap K$. Since $R[1/a][a] \cap K = R[1/a]$, we obtain $R[1/a][1/b][a] \cap K = R[1/a][1/b]$ by Lemma 8. Hence $R[1/(ab)[a] \cap K = R[1/a, 1/b][a] \cap K = R[1/a, 1/b] = R[1/(ab)]$, which shows $ab \in E(a)$. Next take $a, b \in E(a)$. Let $\zeta \in R[1/(a+b)][a] \cap K$. Then for sufficiently large integer ℓ , we have $(a+b)^\ell \zeta \in R[a] \cap K \subseteq R[1/a][a] \cap K = R[1/a]$ and similarly $(a+b)^\ell \zeta \in R[a] \cap K \subseteq R[1/b][a] \cap K = R[1/b]$. Thus we have $R[1/(a+b)][a] \subseteq R[1/a] \cap R[1/b]$. Now we must show that $R[1/a] \cap R[1/b] \subseteq R[1/(a+b)]$. Take $\xi \in R[1/a] \cap R[1/b]$. Then $\xi = c/a^n = d/b^m$ for some $c, d \in R$ and for some integers n, m . Take $\ell \gg 0$, e.g. $\ell > 2 \max\{n, m\}$. Then $(a+b)^\ell \xi = a^\ell(c/a^n) + \dots + b^\ell(d/b^m) \in R$. Hence $\xi \in R[1/a(a+b)]$. Therefore $R[1/(a+b)][a] \cap K \subseteq R[1/a] \cap R[1/b] \subseteq R[1/(a+b)]$, which means $R[1/(a+b)][a] \cap K \subseteq R[1/(a+b)]$. So we conclude that $a+b \in E(a)$. We have shown that $E(a)$ is an ideal of R . It is clear that $E(a)$ is a radical ideal of R . □

The next Corollary 8.1 follows from the definition immediately.

Corollary 8.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $E(a) = R$,
- (ii) a is exclusive over R .

Theorem 9. *The following inclusions hold:*

$$I_{[a]} \subseteq E(a) \subseteq \sqrt{I_{\eta_a} : \bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i}}.$$

Moreover if a is super-primitive over R , then $I_{\eta_a} \subseteq E(a)$.

Proof. Take $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $I_{[a]} \not\subseteq p$. Then $R_p[a] \cap K = R_p$ by Corollary 1.2.

Hence $E(\alpha)_p = R_p$, which means $E(\alpha) \not\subseteq p$. Since $E(\alpha)$ is a radical ideal, we have $I_{[\alpha]} \subseteq E(\alpha)$. Next take $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $E(\alpha) \not\subseteq p$. Then $R[\alpha] \cap K = R_p$. By Proposition 1, we have $(\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i})_p \subseteq (I_{\eta_d})_p$. Hence $(I_{\eta_d} : \bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i})_p = R_p$, which yields that $I_{\eta_d} : \bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i} \not\subseteq p$. Thus $E(\alpha) \subseteq \sqrt{I_{\eta_d} : \bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} I_{\eta_i}}$. The second part follows from Corollary 1.1. \square

Corollary 9.1. *If $E(\alpha) \neq R$, then there exists $p \in Dp_1(R)$ such that $E(\alpha) \subseteq p$.*

Proof. Suppose that $E(\alpha) \not\subseteq p$ for all $p \in Dp_1(R)$. Then $R_p[\alpha] \cap K = R_p$ and hence $R[\alpha] \cap K \subseteq \bigcap_{p \in Dp_1(R)} R_p[\alpha] \cap K = \bigcap_{p \in Dp_1(R)} R_p = R$. Thus $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$, which shows $E(\alpha) = R$. \square

The following corollary is seen immediately from Proposition 9.

Corollary 9.2. *If α is exclusive over R_p for each $p \in Dp_1(R)$, then α is exclusive over R .*

Corollary 9.3. *α is exclusive over $R[1/a]$ for any $a \in I_{[\alpha]} \setminus \{0\}$, i.e., $R[1/a][\alpha] \cap K = R[1/a]$.*

Proof. By Theorem 9, $I_{[\alpha]} \subseteq E(\alpha)$, so that $R[1/a][\alpha] \cap K = R[1/a]$. \square

Concerning the converse of Proposition 1, we have the following special result.

Proposition 10. *Assume that α is a super-primitive element of degree 2. Then $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$ if and only if $I_{\eta_1} \subseteq I_{\eta_2}$.*

Proof. We must prove the if-part by Proposition 1. By Corollary 9.2, we may assume that R is a local domain (R, m) of depth one. When $I_{\eta_2} \not\subseteq m$, $\eta_2 \in R$.

Since α is super-primitive over R , we have $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$ by Theorem 9. Next we consider the case $I_{\eta_2} \subseteq m$. Then $I_{[\alpha]} = I_{\eta_1} \cap I_{\eta_2} = I_{\eta_1}$ by the assumption. Since α is super-primitive over R , $I_{[\alpha]}$ is a principal ideal by [OSY,(1,12)]. So $I_{[\alpha]} = aR = I_{\eta_1}$ for some $a \in R$. In this case, $\eta_1 = b/a$ and $b \notin m$ because if $b \in m$ then I_{η_1} contains aR properly. Hence we may assume that $b = 1$. Since $a \in I_{\eta_1} \subset I_{\eta_2}$ yields $\varphi_\alpha(X) = X^2 + (1/a)X + (c/a)$ for some $c \in R$. Hence by [OSuY, Remark in § 3], we have $R[\alpha] \cap K = R$. \square

References

- [M] H. Matsumura: *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [OY] S. Oda and K. Yoshida: Anti-integral extensions of Noetherian domains, *Kobe J. Math.*, **5** (1988), 43-56.
- [OSY] S. Oda, J. Sato and K. Yoshida: High degree anti-integral extensions of Noetherian domains, *Osaka J. of Math.*, **30** (1993), 119-135.

[OSuK] S.Oda, T. Sugatani and K. Yoshida: On subrings of super-primitive extensions, (Preprint).